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Terms and Conditions of Use

NDB Accountants & Consultants, LLP (“NDB”) has prepared the following report on Controls placed in Operation over the Data Center Services for the period of January 1, 2015, to August 31, 2015 (the “Report”), for the sole benefit and use of the Service Organization (“Company”), and, for limited purposes in accordance with the requirements of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (the AICPA), the Company’s existing user entities, and their auditors. In addition, certain prospective user entities, identified by the Company, and their auditors, (collectively, each a “Recipient”) may have access to the Report subject to the terms of this agreement. Your access to the Report is subject to your agreement, on behalf of yourself and the Recipient, to the terms and conditions set forth below. Please read them carefully. By accessing and/or considering the Service Auditor’s Report or other documentation contained there within or otherwise associated therewith, based on your connection to the expected user of the report as defined within the relevant attestation standards, you signify that you and the Recipient agree to be bound by these terms and conditions. Such acceptance and agreement shall be deemed effective on behalf of yourself and the Recipient, and this agreement shall be deemed to satisfy any requirements of any applicable law, notwithstanding that the agreement is notified and deemed accepted electronically. Without the deemed acceptance of the terms and conditions of use presented herein and/or below, NDB does not provide any permission or acknowledgment for use or any reliance of the Service Auditor’s report or the associated attest report. Distribution or disclosure of any portion of the following Report or any information or advice contained therein to persons other than Company is prohibited, except as provided below.

1. Recipient understands that NDB was engaged by the Company to perform an SSAE 16 (SOC1) Type Two Service Auditor’s attestation for the Company in accordance with the AICPA’s Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements No. 16, Reporting on Controls at a Service Organization (the “Services”). The Recipient has requested the Company to provide it with a copy of the Report prepared by NDB in connection with such engagement.

2. Recipient understands that (i) the Services were undertaken, and the Report was prepared, solely for the benefit and use of the Company, its existing user entities included in scope for purposes of this SOC 1 Attestation, and their auditors and was not intended for any other purpose, including the use by prospective user entities of the Company; (ii) NDB has made no representation or warranty to Recipient as to the sufficiency of the Services or otherwise with respect to the Report; and (iii) had NDB been engaged to perform additional services or procedures, other matters might have come to NDB’s attention that would have been addressed in the Report.

3. The Services did not constitute (i) an audit, review, or examination of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards of the AICPA or the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board; (ii) an examination of prospective financial statements in accordance with applicable professional standards; or (iii) procedures to detect fraud or illegal acts. The Services did not include any procedures to test compliance with the laws or regulations of any jurisdiction.

4. Specifically, recipient prospective user entities (and other users relative to the associated attest standards) further acknowledge and agree that (i) Recipient does not acquire any rights against NDB, any other member firm or affiliate of NDB, or any of their respective affiliates, partners, agents, representatives, or employees
(collectively, the “NDB Parties”), and NDB assumes no duty or liability to it, in connection with the Services or its access to the Report; (ii) Recipient may not rely on the Report; and (iii) Recipient will NOT contend that any provisions of United States or state securities laws could invalidate or avoid any provision of this letter.

5. Except where compelled by legal process (of which the Recipient shall promptly inform NDB so that NDB may seek appropriate protection), the Recipient will not disclose, orally or in writing, any Report or any portion thereof, or make any reference to NDB in connection therewith, in any public document, or to any third party.

6. Recipient (for itself and to its successors and assignees) hereby releases each of the NDB Parties, from any and all claims or causes of action that Recipient has, or hereafter may or shall have, against them in connection with the Report, Recipient’s access to the Report, or NDB’s performance of the Services. Recipient shall indemnify, defend, and hold harmless the NDB Parties from and against all claims, liabilities, losses, and expenses suffered or incurred by any of them arising out of or in connection with (1) any breach of this letter by Recipient or its representatives, and/or (2) any use or disclosure of, or reliance on, the Report by any other party that obtains access to the Report, directly or indirectly, from or through Recipient or at its request.

If the Recipient does not agree to, and adhere to the terms and conditions as set forth above, or the proper use of the Service Auditor’s Report, or any documents associated therewith, then the Recipient does not have the permission of the Service Auditor for consideration of the content of the associated SOC report or any Auditor’s opinion attached thereto.
I. INDEPENDENT SERVICE AUDITOR’S REPORT

ScaleMatrix
5775 Kearny Villa Road
San Diego, CA 92123

We have examined ScaleMatrix’s description of its Data Center Services system in San Diego, CA, for processing user entities’ transactions throughout the period of January 1, 2015, to August 31, 2015 (description), and the suitability of the design and operating effectiveness of controls to achieve the related control objectives stated in the description.

In the following section of this report, ScaleMatrix has provided an assertion about the fairness of the presentation of the description and suitability of the design and operating effectiveness of the controls to achieve the related control objectives stated in the description. ScaleMatrix is responsible for preparing the description and for the assertion, including the completeness, accuracy, and method of presentation of the description and the assertion, providing the services covered by the description, specifying the control objectives and stating them in the description, identifying the risks that threaten the achievement of the control objectives, selecting the criteria, and designing, implementing, and documenting controls to achieve the related control objectives stated in the description.

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the fairness of the presentation of the description and on the suitability of the design and operating effectiveness of the controls to achieve the related control objectives stated in the description, based on our examination. We conducted our examination in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA). Those standards required that we plan and perform our examination to obtain reasonable assurance about whether, in all material respects, the description is fairly presented and the controls were suitably designed and operating effectively to achieve the related control objectives stated in the description throughout the period of January 1, 2015, to August 31, 2015.

An examination of a description of a service organization’s system and the suitability of the design and operating effectiveness of the service organization’s controls to achieve the related control objectives stated in the description involves performing procedures to obtain evidence about the fairness of the presentation of the description and suitability of the design and operating effectiveness of those controls to achieve the related control objectives stated in the description. Our procedures include assessing the risks that the description is not fairly presented and that the controls were not suitably designed or operating effectively to achieve the related control objectives stated in the description. Our procedures also included testing the operating effectiveness of those controls that we consider necessary to provide reasonable assurance that the related control objectives stated in the description were achieved. An examination engagement of this type also includes evaluating the overall presentation of the description and the suitability of the control objectives stated therein, and the suitability of the criteria specified by the service organization. We believe that the evidence we obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a reasonable, but not absolute, basis for our opinion.

Because of their nature, controls at a service organization may not prevent, or detect and correct, all errors or omissions in processing or reporting transactions. Also, the projection to the future of any evaluation of the fairness of the presentation of the description, or conclusions about the suitability of the design or operating effectiveness of the controls to achieve the related control objectives is subject to the risk that controls at a service organization may become inadequate or fail.
In our opinion, in all material respects, based on the criteria described in ScaleMatrix’s assertion in the following section of this report:

a. the description fairly presents the Data Center Services system that was designed and implemented throughout the period of January 1, 2015, to August 31, 2015.

b. the controls related to the control objectives stated in the description were suitably designed to provide reasonable assurance that the control objectives would be achieved if the controls operated effectively throughout the period of January 1, 2015, to August 31, 2015.

c. the controls tested, which were those necessary to provide reasonable assurance that the control objectives stated in the description were achieved, operated effectively throughout the period of January 1, 2015, to August 31, 2015.

The specific controls tested and the nature, timing, and results of those tests are included in the section of this report entitled, “Tests of Operating Effectiveness and Results of Testing Provided by the Service Auditor.”

This report, including the description of tests of controls and results of testing, is intended solely for the information and use of ScaleMatrix, user entities of ScaleMatrix’s Data Center Services system during some or all of the period of January 1, 2015, to August 31, 2015, and the independent auditors of such user entities, who have a sufficient understanding to consider it along with other information, including information about controls implemented by user entities themselves, when assessing the risks of material misstatements of user entities’ financial statements. This report is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

**NDB Accountants & Consultants, LLP**  
Atlanta, Georgia.  
II. WRITTEN STATEMENT of ASSERTION

We have prepared the description of ScaleMatrix’s Data Center Services (the “description”) for user entities of the system during some or all of the period of January 1, 2015, to August 31, 2015, and their user auditors who have a sufficient understanding to consider it, along with other information, including information about controls implemented by user entities of the system themselves, when assessing the risks of material misstatements of user entities’ financial statements. We confirm, to the best of our knowledge and belief that:

a. the description fairly presents the Data Center Services system made available to user entities of the system during some or all of the period of January 1, 2015, to August 31, 2015, for processing their Data Center Services transactions. The criteria used in making this assertion were that the description:

i. presents how the system was designed and implemented to process various transactions, and how this system made information available to user entities, including:

   (1) the classes of transactions processed;
   (2) the procedures, within both automated and manual systems, by which those transactions are initiated, authorized, recorded, processed, corrected as necessary, and transferred to the reports presented to user entities of the system;
   (3) the related accounting records, supporting information, and specific accounts that are used to initiate, authorize, record, process, and report transactions: this includes the correction of incorrect information and how information is transferred to the reports presented to user entities of the system;
   (4) how the system captures and addresses significant events and conditions, other than transactions;
   (5) the process used to prepare reports or other information provided to user entities of the system;
   (6) specified control objectives and controls designed to achieve those objectives; and,
   (7) other aspects of our control environment, risk assessment process, information and communication systems (including the related business processes), control activities, and monitoring controls that are relevant to processing and reporting transactions of user entities of the system.

ii. does not omit or distort information relevant to the scope of the Data Center Services system, while acknowledging that the description is prepared to meet the common needs of a broad range of user entities of the system and the independent auditors of those user entities, and may not, therefore, include every aspect of the Data Center Services system that each individual user entity of the system and its auditor may consider important in its own particular environment.

b. the description includes relevant details of changes to the Service Organization’s system during the period covered by the description when the description covers a period of time.
c. the controls related to the control objectives stated in the description were suitably designed and operated effectively throughout the period of **January 1, 2015, to August 31, 2015**, to achieve those control objectives. The criteria we used in making this assertion were that:

i. the risks that threaten the achievement of the control objectives stated in the description have been identified by the Service Organization;

ii. the controls identified in the description would, if operating as described, provide reasonable assurance that those risks would not prevent the control objectives stated in the description from being achieved; and,

iii. the controls were consistently applied as designed, including whether manual controls were applied by individuals who have the appropriate competence and authority.

Sincerely,

Name: Mark Ortenzi
Title: CEO
Date: August 31, 2015.
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Title: Director – Program Management & Development
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Signature: